UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Ismael Gomez Defendant	Case No. 1:12 Cr 217
		form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:*
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves:
	the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed v or local offense.	vhile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is prescribed in:
	✓ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	Alternativ	ve Findings (B)
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
✓ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	•
		the Reasons for Detention
l evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing

defendant is a 46-year-old man who is presently unemployed. He has been out of work for 2 years because of a disability. He has an adult felony record spanning 27 years, including drug crimes and crimes of violence. The most serious convictions occurred in 2003, when defendant was convicted of delivering between 50 and 449 grams of cocaine, in addition to a felony-firearm violation. He was discharged from parole in December 2010 and again faces charges of drug distribution and possession of loaded firearms in connection with drug activities.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 10, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge